RMIS-11

## FORMAT FOR EVALUATION OF RESEARCH PROJECT AFTER COMPLETION BY EXPERTS/ RCS

[TO BE DONE BASED ON RMIS-10 (SELF EVALUATION BY PI & FINAL REPORT) SUBMITTED]

- 1. Name of the Institute:
- 2. Project Code & Title
- 3. Evaluation research project after completion by Experts / RCS

#	Criteria	Methodology	Marks (output)	Evaluation by Experts/ RCS
1.	Achievements against approved and	Qualitative and quantitative assessment of objectives and stipulated outputs under the project	60	
	stipulated objectives	a) Project Output Achieved vis-à-vis envisaged output	15	
	and outputs	b) Fulfilment of set project objectives	05	
	under the	c) Utilization of allocated budget	05	
	project	d) Extent to which proposed methodology, experimental designs, test procedures, analytical methods followed	05	
		e) Does the data justify the conclusions?	05	
		f) Innovativeness and creating of new knowledge	05	
		g) Additional outputs over those stipulated under the project	03	
		h) Creation of linkages for commercialization of technology developed under the project	05	
		i) Is scientific input commensurate to output (manpower, budget and time duration)?	03	
		j) Completion of project within the approved extended period (not for project time escalation beyond 6 months)	04	
		k) Submission of final report within one month after scheduled completion of the project	05	
2.	Publication/ awards	Assessment in respect of quality of Awards /Scientific recognitions received, if any	15	
		Research papers (NAAS rated/with impact factor) [without NAAS rating & impact factor maximum marks limited to 5]	07	
		Books/Book	03	

		Paparts/Manuals:		01		
		Reports/Manuals; Working and Concept Papers;		01		
		Chapters/Bulletins	01			
2		ientific recognitions/appreciation/awards		02		
3.	Additional	Facilities created in term		05		
	facilities	research set-up, instrume	entation, etc.			
	created	during the project.		0.5		
4.	Human	Training in various aspects relevant to the		05		
	Resource	project area and its utilize	ation			
	Development					
	(Scientific					
	and					
	Technical)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
5.	Product/Proce	Details to be provided on	1	10		
	ss/Technology	a) Product				
	/IPR /	b) Process				
	commercial	c) Technology				
	value of the	d) Information				
	technology	e) IPR				
	developed	f) Registration of the varieties/ breeds				
		g) Revenue generation				
6.	Quality of	Research Project Files, R	_	05		
	duly	(hardcopy and soft copy)	, Photographs,			
	authenticated	Reports etc.				
	project					
	documents					
	available					
	Total Marks			100		
7	Timelines of	Deduction of marks if				
	execution of	1 0 1	to be deducted			
	the project	extended beyond 6				
		months from scheduled				
		completion date				
		Up to 5%	01			
		Up to 10%	02			
		Up to 30%	03			
		Beyond 30%	05			
T	Total Marks (after deduction if any) 100					

4. Overall score/ marks obtained:	
-----------------------------------	--

## 5. Grading of score obtained on achievement / outcome of the project after completion

#	Score (%)	Rating	*Justification provided	*Observation of Experts/
			by PI (only in case < 50	RCS (only in case < 50 %
			% score)	score)
1	0-40	Unsatisfactory		
2	41-50	Fair		
3	51-70	Good		
4	71-80	Very Good		
5	81-100	Excellent		

## 6. Recommendations on the outcome/ achievement of research project after evaluation by experts/ RCS (not more than 200 words)

Note: Suggested decisions for evaluating the completed project by the experts / RCS, based on the score obtained.

#	Score obtained	Suggested decisions	
1	below 40 score	<ul> <li>i. Justification provided by the PI on low achievement is not acceptable</li> </ul>	
		ii. Debar the PI/CI for taking project for one year	
2	41-50 score	<ul> <li>i. Justification provided by the PI on low achievement acceptable due to</li> <li>ii. Project to be categorized as un-finished/ non-viable</li> </ul>	
		project without any outcome or partial outcome.	
3	51-80 score	i. Outcome of the project need to be forwarded for utilization as product/process/information/technology.	
4	more than 80 score	i. Scientists to be considered for better grading in APAR, preferred while sponsoring to events, awarding etc.	

Signature of Experts/ RCS